PURPOSE AND STATE

e have no time to argue with the malicious turncoats, apostates, and psychopathic anti-Israelites in our midst on the subject of "Who is a Jew". The sages of the Hagadah advised us regarding the "wicked son" who says "you" and not "we". By excluding himself he turns heretic, and the only recourse is "to knock his teeth out".

There are, however, many gnorant and simple-minded eople who, lacking the proper ducation, become ensnared by eautiful formulae like "free-om", "democracy" and "suprenacy of Law." They must be upplied with the basic and esential axioms which are unnown to them.

Disciples of the separation of ewish religion and Jewish natonality (the abstraction ''nation and faith" exists only among ther ethnic groups), have been sounding off about "contempt of court" and "undermining justce" if the Knesset passes a law which reverses the Supreme Court ruling on the Shalit case. Such a move by the Knesset will be perfectly legal, even according to the five judges who, behind the aprons of formality set this anti-Jewish and anti-Zionist precedent. If malevolent judges abuse a loophole in the law, then the legislature is entitled to fill that void. If the Government re-affirms the unity of nation and faith in accordance with the "Halacha" - after the child's mother - then the decision is legal and demonstrates an awareness of our historical es-

While the professors declaim about contempt of court we ought to investigate their contempt for truth and history. On the one hand, we have five judges against four and on the other we have three thousand five hundred years of Jewish history against twenty-one years of the State of Israel. These supposed men of letters dare to speak about the Israeli nation. They created a "Pales-

tinian" nation in order to justify their demands for withdrawal, but how can they justify the creation of an Israeli nation – especially if it is not Jewish? Shalit's wife has everything, except the right to be Jewish, which she wants at a give away rate – gratis, not even willing to pay the price of a symbolic immersion.

But our men of letters stand with her — against our great, long and blood-soaked history.

These malignant intellectuals talk about the great contemporary achievment of "impartial justice" and "equality before the law," while they ignore the three thousand year old Law of Moses; "And I charged your judges saying, 'Hear the causes and judge righteously between every man and the stranger with him. You shall not respect persons...but shall hear the small as well as the great...and you shall not be afraid in the face of man". 1.15 - 16). We did not need a French Revolution to teach us impartial justice; we learned that through faith. The Torah also opposes prejudice against the proselyte, although it demands the rejection of idol worshippers even if they are prophets; let alone professors who are only flesh and blood (often more flesh than blood).

The man of letters claims, furthermore, that we returned to this land in order to free ourselves from the fetters of religion and the fog of the Middle Ages.

What the Gentiles did in those bleak Dark Ages was Christian charity when contrasted with our contemporary experiences under enlightened Germany and Russia

— with the aid of Britain and lately France.

The truth is that the Jewish faith is not a diaspora offshoot but a fruit of THIS LAND, beginning with the Torah on Sinai and ending with the Mishneh of Rabbi Judah the Prince – while diaspora culture is nothing more than the abundance of escholae in the name of which these men of letters try to rip the Jewish Nation from its Eretzisraelite nation, from its ERETZISRAEL-ITE HERITAGE.

Unity of nation and faith is Eretzisraelite, while separation of church and state has alien origins and is a subjugated, diasporic concept.

SHIP OF DESTINY

The real argument revolves around one axis - THIS State of Israel: Is it a state like all states or is it the modern tool with which to realise an ancient idea? Making it a state like all states disturbs the very foundations of its establishment and destroys its raison d'etre. This state is not static; she is a ship with a definite destiny to which all who embark must agree. There is room for argument, but not about the purpose.

A state with an idea has many discomforts. She is not a luxury ship-of-state, although in toto she is the world's most wonderful vessel. It is well worth sacrificing some of life's comforts to sail on her decks. She is also a democratic vessel, as everyone is entitled to disembark at any of the tempting islands.

Only the rights to sink the ship, change its course, or hand it over to pirates are not granted. True, this is a restriction of liberties. Bless this restriction because it is our salvation.

DR. ISRAEL ELDAD